arXiv:nucl-th/0501070v1 27 Jan 2005

Number of Spin I States of Identical Particles

Y. M. Zhao[1,2,3,4,*] and A. Arima[5]

[1]*Department of physics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200030, China*
[2]*Cyclotron Center, Institute of Physical Chemical Research (RIKEN), Hirosawa 2-1, Wako-shi, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*
[3]*Center of Theoretical Nuclear Physics, National Laboratory of Heavy Ion Accelerator, Lanzhou 730000, China*
[4]*Department of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210018, China*
[5]*Science Museum, Japan Science Foundation, 2-1 Kitanomaru-koen, Chiyodaku, Tokyo 102-0091, Japan*

(Dated: February 9, 2008)

In this paper we study the enumeration of number (denoted as D_I) of spin I states for fermions in a single-j shell and bosons with spin l. We show that D_I can be enumerated by the reduction from SU$(n+1)$ to SO(3). New regularities of D_I are discerned.

PACS numbers: 05.30.Fk, 05.45.-a, 21.60Cs, 24.60.Lz
Keywords:

The enumeration of number of spin I states (denoted as D_I) for fermions in a single-j shell or bosons with spin l (We use a convention that j is a half integer and l is an integer) is a very common practice in nuclear structure theory. One usually obtains this number by subtracting the combinatorial number of angular momentum projection $M = I + 1$ from that with $M = I$ [1]. More specifically, D_I equals to the combinatorial number of $M = I$ subtracted by that of $M = I + 1$, where $M = m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_n$, with the requirement that $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_n$ for bosons and $m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_n$ for fermions, where n is the number of particles (This procedure is called Process A in this paper.). The combinatorial numbers of different M's look irregular, and such an enumeration would be prohibitively tedious when j and l are very large. The number of states of a few nucleons in a single-j shell is usually tabulated in textbooks, for sake of convenience.

Another well-known solution was given by Racah [2] in terms of the seniority scheme, where one has to introduce (usually by computer choice) additional quantum numbers. More than one decade ago, a third route was studied by Katriel et al. [3] and Sunko et al. [4], who constructed generating functions of the number of states for fermions in a single-j shell or bosons with spin l.

There were two efforts in constructing analytical formulas of D_I. In Ref. [5], D_0 for $n = 4$ was obtained analytically. In Ref. [6], D_I was constructed empirically for $n = 3$ and 4, and some D_I's for n=5. It is therefore desirable to obtain a deeper insight into this difficult problem.

Equivalent to Process A, we propose here another procedure, called process B and explained as follows. Let $\mathcal{P}(n, I_0)$ be the number of partitions of $I_0 = i_1+i_2+\cdots i_n$, with $0 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_n \leq 2j + 1 - n$ for fermions or $0 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_n \leq 2l$ for bosons. Here $I_{\max} = nj - \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ for fermions in a single-j shell, and $I_{\max} = nl$ for bosons with spin l. One defines $\mathcal{P}(n, 0) = D_{I=I_{\max}} = 1$ for $I_0 = 0$. Then one has $D_{I=I_{\max}-I_0} = \mathcal{P}(n, I_0) - \mathcal{P}(n, I_0 - 1)$.

Now we look at D_I for $\bar{n}$ "bosons" of spin $L = \frac{n}{2}$, with $\bar{n} = 2l$ for bosons or $\bar{n} = 2j + 1 - n$ for fermions. $I_{\max}$ of these $\bar{n}$ "bosons" with spin L equals that of n bosons with spin l or that of n fermions in a single-j shell. Furthermore, $\mathcal{P}(n, I_0)$ of $I_0 = i_1 + i_2 + \cdots i_{\bar{n}}$ with the requirement $0 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_{\bar{n}} \leq 2L = n$, always equals that of $I_0 = i_1 + i_2 + \cdots i_n$ with the requirement that $0 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_n \leq 2j + 1 - n$ for n fermions or $0 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_n \leq 2l$ for n bosons. This result can be explained from the fact as follows. The $\mathcal{P}(n, I_0)$ of $\bar{n}$ "bosons" with spin L corresponds to Young diagrams up to n rows, and $2l$ columns for bosons or $2j+1-n$ columns for fermions. The conjugates of these Young diagrams are those up to $2l$ rows for bosons or $2j+1-n$ rows for fermions, and up to n columns, which correspond to partitions in Process B for n fermions in a single-j shell or bosons with spin l. Therefore, Process B for $\bar{n}$ bosons with spin $L = n/2$ provides us with an alternative to construct D_I for n bosons with spin l or n fermions in a single-j shell.

This alternative (Process B for $\bar{n}$ bosons with spin L) suggests the following identity. If $l = (2j+1-n)/2$ (n is even), i.e., $I_{\max}$ of bosons equals that of fermions, then D_I for bosons equals that of fermions. This identity can be easily confirmed. It means that one can obtain D_I of n fermions in a single-j shell by using that of n bosons with spin $l = (2j + 1 - n)/2$, or *vice versa*.

Process B for $\bar{n}$ bosons with spin $L = n/2$ is also useful in constructing formulas of D_I. One can see this point from the fact that Process B involves SU$(n+1)$ symmetry, which is *independent* of j and l, while in Process A different j shell for fermions and spin l for bosons involve different symmetries (SU$(2j + 1)$ and SU$(2l + 1)$).

Below we exemplify our idea by $n = 4$. The relevant symmetry for Process B of $\bar{n}$ bosons with spin L is SU(5) (i.e., $L = n/2 = 2$, d bosons). $\bar{n}$ equals $2l$ and $2j - 3$, for four bosons and four fermions, respectively.

Our first result is that D_I of four bosons with spin

*Electronic address: ymzhao@stu.jp

l always equals that of four fermions in a single j shell when $l = (2j-3)/2$. Our second result is that we can derive D_I of four bosons with spin l by this new method. Here one needs D_I of d bosons with $\bar{n} = 2l$. This problem was studied in the interacting boson model, suggested by Arima and Iachello [7] in seventies. Below we revisit the enumeration of D_I for d bosons with particle number $\bar{n} = 2l$.

Let us follow the notation of Ref. [7] and define $\bar{n} = 2l = 2\nu + v = 2\nu + 3n_\delta + \lambda$. D_I of $\bar{n}$ d bosons is enumerated via the procedure as follows. (1) v takes value $2l, 2l-2, 2l-4, \cdots, 0$, which corresponds to $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, n/2 = l$, respectively. (2) For each value of v, n_Δ takes value from 0 to $\left[\frac{v}{3}\right]$. (3) For each set of v and n_Δ, λ is determined by $v - 3n_\Delta$. (4) For each λ obtained in step (3), the allowed spin is given by λ, $\lambda+1, \lambda+2$, $\cdots$, $2\lambda-3$, $2\lambda-2$, 2λ. Note that there is no state with $2\lambda - 1$. One easily sees that there is no $I = 1$ states for d bosons, because $\lambda = 1$ presents $I = 2$ state ($2\lambda - 1$ is missing).

In order to obtain D_I, it is necessary to know the number of λ appearing in the above process for each I. Let us call this number f_λ and define $\bar{n} = 2l = 6k+\kappa$, $\kappa = 0, 2, 4$, and $k \geq 1$. Below we exemplify how we obtain f_λ by the case of $\kappa = 0$. We have the following hierarchy:

λ	f_λ	v
0	$k+1$	$0, 6, 12, \cdots, 6k$
1	k	$4, 10, 16, \cdots, 6k-2$
2	k	$2, 8, 14, \cdots, 6k-4$
3	k	$6, 12, 18, \cdots, 6k$
4	k	$4, 10, 16, \cdots, 6k-2$
5	$k-1$	$8, 14, 20, \cdots, 6k-4$
6	k	$6, 12, 18, \cdots, 6k$
7	$k-1$	$10, 16, 22, \cdots, 6k-2$
8	$k-1$	$8, 14, 20, \cdots, 6k-4$
9	$k-1$	$12, 18, 24, \cdots, 6k$
10	$k-1$	$10, 16, 22, \cdots, 6k-2$
11	$k-2$	$14, 20, 26, \cdots, 6k-4$
12	$k-1$	$12, 18, 24, \cdots, 6k$
13	$k-2$	$16, 22, 28, \cdots, 6k-2$
14	$k-2$	$14, 20, 26, \cdots, 6k-4$
15	$k-2$	$18, 24, 30, \cdots, 6k$
16	$k-2$	$16, 22, 28, \cdots, 6k-2$
17	$k-3$	$20, 26, 32, \cdots, 6k-4$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$

From this tabulation we have that $f_\lambda = k + \delta_{m0} - \delta_{m5} - \left[\frac{\lambda}{6}\right]$, where m is equal to λ mod 6 when $\kappa = 0$, and $[\,]$ means to take the largest integer not exceeding the value inside.

For the sake of simplicity we define $I = 2I_0$ for even values of I and $I = 2I_0+3$ for odd values of I. For $I_0 \leq l$,

$$D_{I=2I_0} = \sum_{\lambda=I_0}^{2I_0} f_\lambda. \tag{1}$$

For $\kappa = 0$ and $I_0 \leq l$ ($I = 2I_0 \leq 2l$),

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{I=2I_0} = (I_0+1)k \\ &- \left(9K^2 - K + 3K\mathcal{K} + (2\mathcal{K}-5)\theta(2\mathcal{K}-5)\right) + \delta_{\mathcal{K}0}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $K = \left[\frac{I_0}{6}\right]$, $\mathcal{K} = (I_0 \bmod 6)$. $\theta(x) = 1$ if $x > 0$ and zero otherwise. One can repeat the same procedure for $\kappa = 2$ and 4. We list these results as below:

For $\kappa = 2$ and $I_0 \leq l$,

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{I=2I_0} = (I_0+1)k \\ &- \left(9K^2 - K + 3K\mathcal{K} + (2\mathcal{K}-5)\theta(2\mathcal{K}-5)\right) \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{I_0+3}{6}\right] + \left[\frac{I_0+5}{6}\right] + \delta_{\mathcal{K}0} - \delta_{\mathcal{K}3}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

For $\kappa = 4$ and $I_0 \leq l$,

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{I=2I_0} = (I_0+1)(k+1) \\ &- \left(9K^2 - K + 3K\mathcal{K} + (2\mathcal{K}-5)\theta(2\mathcal{K}-5)\right) \\ &\quad - \left[\frac{I_0+3}{6}\right] - \left[\frac{I_0+4}{6}\right] + \delta_{\mathcal{K}4}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

For I is odd and $I \leq 2l$, we use a relation $D_{I=2I_0} - D_{I=2I_0+3} = \left[\frac{I_0}{2}\right] + 1$. This relation was obtained empirically in Ref. [6] and can be obtained mathematically by calculating

$$D_{I=2I_0+3} = \sum_{\lambda=I_0+3}^{2I_0+3} f_\lambda$$

and compare with $D_{I=2I_0}$.

For the case with $I \geq 2l$, we define $I = I_{\max} - 2I_0$ for even I and $I = I_{\max} - 2I_0 - 3$ for odd I. $f_{\lambda=I_0} = \left[\frac{I_0}{6}\right] - \delta_{(I_0 \bmod 6),0}$. We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{I_{\max}-2I_0} = D_{I_{\max}-2I_0-3} = 3\left[\frac{I_0}{6}\right]\left(\left[\frac{I_0}{6}\right]+1\right) - \left[\frac{I_0}{6}\right] \\ &+ \left(\left[\frac{I_0}{6}\right]+1\right)\left((I_0 \bmod 6)+1\right) + \delta_{(I_0 \bmod 6),0} - 1\ . \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Thus we solve the problem of enumeration of D_I for four bosons with spin l or four fermions in a single-j shell by using the new enumeration procedure. One may obtain D_I of other n (n is even) cases by applying this method similarly, if the reduction rule of SU($n+1$) $\rightarrow$SO(3) is available.

A question arises when we apply this method to odd n cases, for which spin L of $\bar{n}$ bosons involved in Process B is not an integer ($L = n/2$). These bosons are therefore not "realistic". For such cases I of n bosons with

spin l cannot equal that of n fermions in a single j shell. Namely, there is no similar correspondence of D_I between bosons and fermions when n is odd [8]. However, D_I of $\bar{n}$ fictitious bosons with spin $n/2$ (n is odd) obtained by Process A *equals* that of n bosons with spin l or that of n fermions in a single-j shell, where $\bar{n} = 2l$ (even value) and $2j + 1 - n$ (odd value) for bosons and fermions, respectively. In other words, D_I of $\bar{n}$ fictitious bosons with spin $n/2$ equals that of n bosons with spin l if $\bar{n} = 2l$ or that of n fermions in a single-j shell if $\bar{n} = 2j + 1 - n$, here n is odd. Further discussion is warranted on this problem.

To summarize, We have presented in this paper an alternative to enumerate the number of spin I states, D_I, for n fermions in a single j shell or n bosons with spin l. We proved that D_I of n bosons with spin l equals that of n fermions in a single-j shell when $2l = 2j+1-n$, where n is even. We have also exemplified the usefulness of this new method in constructing analytical formulas of D_I by $n = 4$.

For odd n, the procedure of our new method involves half integer spin L for "bosons". Further consideration of this fictitious situation is necessary.

We would like to thank Professors K. T. Hecht and I. Talmi for their reading and constructive comments of this manuscript.

[1] For example, R. D. Lawson, *Theory of Nuclear Shell Model* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1980), P. 8-20.
[2] G. Racah, Phys. Rev. **63**, 367 (1943); A. de-Shalit and I. Talmi, *Nuclear Shell Model Theory* (Academic, New York, 1963).
[3] J. Katriel, R. Pauncz, and J. J. C. Mulder, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **23**, 1855 (1983); J. Katriel and A. Novoselsky, J. Phys. **A22**, 1245 (1989).
[4] D. K. Sunko and D. Svrtan, Phys. Rev. **C31**, 1929(1985); D. K. Sunko, Phys. Rev. **C33**, 1811 (1986); D. K. Sunko, Phys. Rev. **C35**, 1936 (1987).
[5] J. N. Ginocchio and W. C. Haxton, *Symmetries in Science VI*, Edited by B. Gruber and M. Ramek (Plenum Press, New York, 1993), p. 263.
[6] Y. M. Zhao and A. Arima, Phys. Rev. **C68**, 044310 (2003).
[7] F. Iachello and A. Arima, The Interacting Boson Model (Cambridge University Press, England, 1987), P. 38.
[8] A correspondence of D_I was noted in Sec. II of Ref. [6] for large I cases.